

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

MOISES J. ACCEDE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

**STIPULATION AND
ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

16cv6222 (BMC)

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, POLICE OFFICER WILLIAM
NEVILLE, TAX REG. 949390, POLICE OFFICER JUNIOR
CELESTIN, TAX REG. 941524, SERGEANT ARIANA
ESTEBAN SHIELD #2665, AND OTHER UNIDENTIFIED
NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICERS INDIVIDUALLY
AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY,

Defendants.

----- X

WHEREAS, the parties have reached a settlement agreement and now desire to resolve the remaining issues raised in this litigation, without further proceedings and without admitting any fault or liability;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by
and between the undersigned, that

1. The above-referenced action is hereby dismissed with prejudice; ~~and~~


BMC*

2. Notwithstanding the dismissal of this action in accordance with this agreement, the District Court shall continue to maintain jurisdiction over this action for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the settlement agreement reached between the parties and set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement executed by the parties in this matter.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2017

EDWARD ZALOB
Attorneys for Plaintiff
118-21 Queens Blvd, Suite 504
Forrest Hills, NY 11375
718-261-3000

By:


EDWARD ZALOB
Attorney for Plaintiff

4-7-17

ZACHARY W. CARTER
Corporation Counsel of the
City of New York
Attorney for Defendants City of New York,
Celestine, Neville and Esteban
100 Church Street, 3rd Floor
New York, New York 10007

By:


Nana K. Sarpong
Assistant Corporation Counsel

4/18/17.

SO ORDERED: 4/18/17

HON. BRAN M. COGAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: April 18, 2017

*The Court is not retaining jurisdiction over a settlement where it has not been advised nor given its approval of the terms of the settlement.